

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/774,980	02/09/2004	Luc Vanmaele	27500-GN03027	9601
Joseph T. Guy	7590 02/26/200 Ph.D.	7 .	EXAM	INER
Nexsen Pruet Jacobs & Pollard LLP			, LEE, SIN J	
201 W. McBee Greenville, SC			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1752	
,				
			<u> </u>	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MC	ONTHS	02/26/2007	PAPER	

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	- J		
		10/774,980	VANMAELE ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	<del></del>		
		Sin J. Lee	1752			
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	S		
WHIC - Exte afte - If NC - Failu Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN arising of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Cl richever (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by a reply received by the Office later than three months after the need patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IG DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a roun. Deriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this commun ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	31 January 2007.				
2a) <u></u>		This action is non-final.				
3)□						
	closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims	·				
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,5,6,10-16,18-24,26-53,55 and</u>	59 is/are pending in the applica	ation.			
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with					
5)🛛	Claim(s) 13,14,26-45,47-53 and 55 is/are	allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) 5,6,15,16,18,21-24 and 59 is/are	rejected.				
7)🖂	Claim(s) 1,10-12,19 and 20 is/are objected	d to.				
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.				
· · · ·	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	,	by the Examiner.			
·	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	orrection is required if the drawing(	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	121(d).		
11)[	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-15	52.		
Priority :	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for ⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:	reign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority docur	ments have been received.		-		
	2. Certified copies of the priority docur	ments have been received in A	pplication No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the	priority documents have been	received in this National Stag	je		
	application from the International Bu	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•			
* (	See the attached detailed Office action for a	a list of the certified copies not	received.			
Attachmer	nt(e)	·				
	n(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Intentieu S	ummary (PTO-413)			
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date			
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5)  Notice of In	formal Patent Application  —·			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. Claims 2-4, 7-9, 17, 25, 46, 54 and 56-58 are canceled claims.
- 2. In view of amendment of January 31, 2007, previous 103(a) rejection over Figov'001 in view of Nguyen'561, previous 103(a) rejection over Mantell et al'346 in view of Nguyen'561, previous 103(a) rejection over Figov'001 or Mantell et al'346 in view of Nguyen'561 and further in view of Kamata'987 and previous 103(a) rejection over Figov'001 or Mantell'346 in view of Nguyen'561 and further in view of Nguyen'024 are hereby withdrawn.
- 3. Due to new ground of rejection, finality of the last office action is hereby withdrawn, and the following rejection is made *non-final* with the Examiner's sincere apology.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 5. Claims 59, 5, 6, 15, 16, 18, and 21-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Nguyen et al (US 6,664,024 B1).

In claim 1 (see also table in col.6), Nguyen teaches a photocurable resin composition containing at least one photoinitiator (such as mixture of triaryl sulfonium hexafluoroantimonate salts and 1-hydroxy-cyclohexyl phenyl ketone), at least one photoactivated color changing compound and at least one functionalized reactive polysilsesquioxane compound. In claim 20, Nguyen teaches

3-(3,6,7,9,11,13,15-heptacyclopentylpentacyclo[9.5.1. 1<sup>3,9</sup>.1<sup>5,15</sup>.1.<sup>7,13</sup>]octasiloxan-1-yl)propyl methacrylate

Application/Control Number: 10/774,980

Art Unit: 1752

(which is one of the compounds of present claim 59) as one of *only nine* examples for the polysilsesquioxane compound. Based on Nguyen's teaching, one skilled in the art would immediately envisage using that compound as Nguyen's polysilsesquioxane compound. Nguyen also teaches (see claim 1 and Example 1) the use of another photopolymerizable substance selected from monomers (such as trimethylolpropane triacrylate), oligomers, *dendrimers* and polymer. Thus, Nguyen teaches present inventions of claims 59, 5, 6, 15, 16, 18 and 21-24 (since Nguyen teaches all of the components of present claim 59, it is the Examiner's position that Nguyen's composition would inherently be capable of being used as a radiation curable ink composition).

Page 3

#### Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 1, 10-12, 19 and 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Nguyen does not teach or suggest present amount of the colorant claimed in present claim 1 nor the present conducting or semiconducting polymer of claim 19.
- 7. Claims 13, 14, 42, 26-41, 43-45, 47-53 and 55 are allowed. Nguyen does not teach or suggest present vinylether (meth)acrylates of claims 13, 42 and 55.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sin J. Lee whose telephone number is 571-272-1333. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:00 am EST to 5:30 pm EST.

Application/Control Number: 10/774,980 Page 4

Art Unit: 1752

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cynthia Kelly, can be reached on 571-272-1526. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is **571-273-8300**.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

L. J. L.

5. Lee February 22, 2007

> SIN LEE PRIMARY EXAMINER

lin J. La